IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA BRUNSWICK DIVISION

CHARLES W. BETHEL,

Petitioner.

VS.

CIVIL ACTION NO. CV205-061

JOSE VAZQUEZ, Warden,

Respondent.

MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Petitioner Charles W. Bethel, an inmate currently incarcerated at the Federal Correctional Institution in Jesup, Georgia, has filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C.A. § 2241. In his petition, Bethel asserts that the Bureau of Prisons ("BOP") has incorrectly calculated his good conduct time. Specifically, Bethel contends that the BOP's interpretation of the "good conduct time statute", 18 U.S.C.A. § 3624(b)(1), has deprived him of good conduct time that he is entitled to receive. Bethel contends that the plain language of § 3624(b)(1) requires that he receive 54 days of good conduct time for every year of imprisonment to which he was sentenced. The BOP has interpreted § 3624(b)(1) to mean that "54 days of [good conduct time] may be earned for each full year [actually] served on a sentence [.]" Program Statement No. 5880.28.

This statute, in pertinent part, states:

[A] prisoner who is serving a term of imprisonment of more than one year . . . may receive credit toward the service of the prisoner's sentence, beyond the time served, of up to 54 days at the end of each year of the prisoner's term of imprisonment, beginning at the end of the first year of the term[.]

18 U.S.C.A. § 3624(b)(1). In a case of first impression in this circuit, the Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals held that the plain text of § 3624(b)(1) is ambiguous, but the BOP's interpretation

of this statute is reasonable and "due to be affirmed[.]" <u>Brown v. McFadden</u>, 2005 WL 1618739, *2 (11th Cir. 2005, July 12, 2005). The Eleventh Circuit also held that the rule of lenity is not applicable because of the BOP's reasonable interpretation of § 3624(b)(1). <u>Id.</u> Thus, Brown's petition, in which he sought credit for additional days of good conduct time, was appropriately denied.

Based on the Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals' holding in <u>Brown</u>, Charles E. Bethel's petition for writ of habeas corpus, filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C.A. § 2241, should be **DENIED**.

SO REPORTED AND RECOMMENDED, this __/2 day of September, 2005.

AMES E. GRAHAM

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

United States District Court

Southern District of Georgia

BETHEL)			
vs VAZQUEZ)	CASE NUMBER CV205-61		
)	DIVISION	BRUNS	SWICK
distri					ffice of the Clerk of this does hereby certify the
1.	duties, I pe	rsonally placed in the		il a sealed	mance of my official envelope, and properly below; and
2.	That the af 9/12/05		oped contained a cophich is part of the of	•	
Date of Mai	ling:	9/12/05			
Date of Cer	tificate	x same	date, or		
		;	Scott L. Poff, Clerk		
			By:		
Name and A	Address		Sherry Taylor	, Deputy	Clerk
Amy Lee Cop Charles Bethe		I Jesup, 2600 Highway	301 South, Jesup, GA 31	1599	
					Copy placed in Minutes Copy given to Judge
				<u></u>	Conv given to Magistrat